

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/665,749	LEAH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Samir Termanini	2178	

**All Participants:**

(1) Samir Termanini.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:**

(3) Thomas Lees.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 May 2007

**Time:** 12:15pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*of claims 1-12 under 35 USC §102(e)*

Claims discussed:

*1, 8, 11, and 12*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Wattenberg et al.*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephonic interviews on 5/22/07 and 5/23/07 with applicant's Attorney (Mr. Thomas Lees), the Examiner indicated the probability of allowable subject matter and solicited applicants' assistance to avert impending rejection of claims 8, 11, and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §101. Thus, an amendment doing such was agreed to, and is being entered via Examiners Amendment.